

An enhanced multi-layered cryptosystem based Secure and Authorized deduplication model in Cloud Storage system

¹K.Suresh Babu ²B.Hemalatha

¹Asst.Professor of CSE, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Management & Science, Sarparam, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh

²M.Sc student, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Management & Science, Sarparam, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh

ABSTRACT:

In this paper, we present a scheme that permits a more fine-grained trade-off. The intuition is that outsourced data may require different levels of protection, depending on how popular it is: content shared by many users, such as a popular song or video, arguably requires less protection than a personal document, the copy of a payslip or the draft of an unsubmitted scientific paper. As more corporate and private users outsource their data to cloud storage providers, recent data breach incidents make end-to-end encryption an increasingly prominent requirement. Unfortunately, semantically secure encryption schemes render various cost-effective storage optimization techniques, such as data deduplication, ineffective. We present a novel idea that differentiates data according to their popularity. Based on this idea, we design an encryption scheme that guarantees semantic security for unpopular data and provides weaker security and better storage and bandwidth benefits for popular data. This way, data deduplication can be effective for popular data, whilst semantically secure encryption protects unpopular content. We show that our scheme is secure under the Symmetric External Decisional Diffie-Hellman Assumption in the random oracle model.

KEYWORDS: Diffe-Hellman, deduplication, fine-grained trade-off, payslip

INTRODUCTION:

Cloud computing is the use of computing resources (hardware and software) that are delivered as a service over a network (typically the Internet). The name comes from the common use of a cloud-shaped symbol as an abstraction for the complex infrastructure it contains in system diagrams. Cloud computing entrusts remote services with a user's data, software and computation. Cloud computing consists of hardware and software resources made available on the Internet as managed third-party services. These services typically provide access to advanced software applications and high-end networks of servers.

Structure of cloud computing


How Cloud Computing Works?

The goal of cloud computing is to apply traditional supercomputing, or high-performance computing power, normally used by military and research facilities, to perform tens of trillions of computations per second, in consumer-oriented applications such as financial portfolios, to deliver personalized information, to provide data storage or to power large, immersive computer games.

The cloud computing uses networks of large groups of servers typically running low-cost consumer PC technology with specialized connections to spread data-processing chores across them. This shared IT infrastructure contains large pools of systems that are linked together. Often, virtualization techniques are used to maximize the power of cloud computing.

Characteristics and Services Models:

The salient characteristics of cloud computing based on the definitions provided by the




National Institute of Standards and Terminology (NIST) are outlined below:

- **On-demand self-service:** A consumer can unilaterally provision computing capabilities, such as server time and network storage, as needed automatically without requiring human interaction with each service's provider.
- **Broad network access:** Capabilities are available over the network and accessed through standard mechanisms that promote use by heterogeneous thin or thick client platforms (e.g., mobile phones, laptops, and PDAs).
- **Resource pooling:** The provider's computing resources are pooled to serve multiple consumers using a multi-tenant model, with different physical and virtual resources dynamically assigned and reassigned according to consumer demand. There is a sense of location-independence in that the customer generally has no control or knowledge over the exact location of the provided resources but may be able to specify location at a higher level of abstraction (e.g., country, state, or data center). Examples of resources include storage, processing, memory, network bandwidth, and virtual machines.
- **Rapid elasticity:** Capabilities can be rapidly and elastically provisioned, in some cases automatically, to quickly scale out and rapidly released to quickly scale in. To the consumer, the capabilities available for provisioning often appear to be unlimited and can be purchased in any quantity at any time.

Measured service: Cloud systems automatically control and optimize resource use by leveraging a metering capability at some level of abstraction appropriate to the type of service (e.g., storage, processing, bandwidth, and active user accounts). Resource usage can be managed, controlled, and reported providing transparency for both the provider and consumer of the utilized service.


SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE:



DATA FLOW DIAGRAM:

1. The DFD is also called as bubble chart. It is a simple graphical formalism that can be used to represent a system in terms of input data to the system, various processing carried out on this data, and the output data is generated by this system.
2. The data flow diagram (DFD) is one of the most important modeling tools. It is used to model the system components. These components are the system process, the data used by the process, an external entity that interacts with the system and the information flows in the system.
3. DFD shows how the information moves through the system and how it is modified by a series of transformations. It is a graphical technique that depicts information flow and the transformations that are applied as data moves from input to output.
4. DFD is also known as bubble chart. A DFD may be used to represent a system at any level of abstraction. DFD may be partitioned into levels that represent increasing information flow and functional detail.

UML DIAGRAMS



UML stands for Unified Modeling Language. UML is a standardized general-purpose modeling language in the field of object-oriented software engineering. The standard is managed, and was created by, the Object Management Group.

The goal is for UML to become a common language for creating models of object oriented computer software. In its current form UML is comprised of two major components: a Meta-model and a notation. In the future, some form of method or process may also be added to; or associated with, UML.

The Unified Modeling Language is a standard language for specifying, Visualization, Constructing and documenting the artifacts of software system, as well as for business modeling and other non-software systems.

The UML represents a collection of best engineering practices that have proven successful in the modeling of large and complex systems.

The UML is a very important part of developing objects oriented software and the software development process. The UML uses mostly graphical notations to express the design of software projects.


GOALS:

The Primary goals in the design of the UML are as follows:

1. Provide users a ready-to-use, expressive visual modeling Language so that they can develop and exchange meaningful models.
2. Provide extendibility and specialization mechanisms to extend the core concepts.
3. Be independent of particular programming languages and development process.
4. Provide a formal basis for understanding the modeling language.
5. Encourage the growth of OO tools market.
6. Support higher level development concepts such as collaborations, frameworks, patterns and components.
7. Integrate best practices.

RESULTS:

SCREEN SHOTS



CONCLUSION

In this paper, the notion of authorized data deduplication was proposed to protect the data security by including differential privileges of users in the duplicate check. We also presented several new deduplication constructions supporting authorized duplicate check in hybrid cloud architecture, in which the duplicate-check tokens of files are generated by the private cloud server with private keys. Security analysis demonstrates that our schemes are secure in terms of insider and outsider attacks specified in the proposed security model. As a proof of concept, we implemented a prototype of our proposed authorized duplicate check scheme and conduct testbed experiments on our prototype. We showed that our authorized duplicate check scheme incurs minimal overhead compared to convergent encryption and network transfer.

REFERENCES:

- [1] OpenSSL Project. <http://www.openssl.org/>.
- [2] P. Anderson and L. Zhang. Fast and secure laptop backups with encrypted de-duplication. In Proc. of USENIX LISA, 2010.
- [3] M. Bellare, S. Keelveedhi, and T. Ristenpart. Dupless: Serveraided encryption for deduplicated storage. In USENIX Security Symposium, 2013.
- [4] M. Bellare, S. Keelveedhi, and T. Ristenpart. Message-locked encryption and secure deduplication. In EUROCRYPT, pages 296–312, 2013.
- [5] M. Bellare, C. Namprempe, and G. Neven. Security proofs for identity-based identification and signature schemes. J. Cryptology, 22(1):1–61, 2009.
- [6] M. Bellare and A. Palacio. Gq and schnorr identification schemes: Proofs of security against impersonation under active and concurrent attacks. In CRYPTO, pages 162–177, 2002.
- [7] S. Bugiel, S. Nurnberger, A. Sadeghi, and T. Schneider. Twin clouds: An architecture for secure cloud computing. In Workshop on Cryptography and Security in Clouds (WCSC 2011), 2011.
- [8] J. R. Douceur, A. Adya, W. J. Bolosky, D. Simon, and M. Theimer. Reclaiming space from duplicate files in a serverless distributed file system. In ICDCS, pages 617–624, 2002.
- [9] D. Ferraiolo and R. Kuhn. Role-based access controls. In 15th NIST-NCSC National Computer Security Conf., 1992.
- [10] GNU Libmicrohttpd. <http://www.gnu.org/software/libmicrohttpd/>.
- [11] S. Halevi, D. Harnik, B. Pinkas, and A. Shulman-Peleg. Proofs of ownership in remote storage systems. In Y. Chen, G. Danezis, and V. Shmatikov, editors, ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pages 491–500. ACM, 2011.
- [12] J. Li, X. Chen, M. Li, J. Li, P. Lee, and W. Lou. Secure deduplication with efficient and reliable convergent key management. In IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2013.
- [13] libcurl. <http://curl.haxx.se/libcurl/>.
- [14] C. Ng and P. Lee. Revdedup: A reverse deduplication storage system optimized for reads to latest backups. In Proc. of APSYS, Apr 2013.
- [15] W. K. Ng, Y. Wen, and H. Zhu. Private data deduplication protocols in cloud storage. In S. Ossowski and P. Lecca, editors, Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, pages 441–446. ACM, 2012.
- [16] R. D. Pietro and A. Sorniotti. Boosting efficiency and security in proof of ownership for deduplication. In H. Y. Youm and Y. Won, editors, ACM Symposium on Information, Computer and Communications Security, pages 81–82. ACM, 2012.
- [17] S. Quinlan and S. Dorward. Venti: a new approach to archival storage. In Proc. USENIX FAST, Jan 2002.
- [18] A. Rahumed, H. C. H. Chen, Y. Tang, P. P. C. Lee, and J. C. S. Lui. A secure cloud backup system with assured deletion and version control. In 3rd International Workshop on Security in Cloud Computing, 2011.
- [19] R. S. Sandhu, E. J. Coyne, H. L. Feinstein, and C. E. Youman. Role-based access control models. IEEE Computer, 29:38–47, Feb 1996.
- [20] J. Stanek, A. Sorniotti, E. Androulaki, and L. Kencl. A secure data deduplication scheme for cloud storage. In Technical Report, 2013.
- [21] M. W. Storer, K. Greenan, D. D. E. Long, and E. L. Miller. Secure data deduplication. In Proc. of StorageSS, 2008.
- [22] Z. Wilcox-O’Hearn and B. Warner. Tahoe: the least-authority filesystem. In Proc. of ACM StorageSS, 2008.
- [23] J. Xu, E.-C. Chang, and J. Zhou. Weak leakage-resilient client-side deduplication of encrypted data in cloud storage. In ASIACCS, pages 195–206, 2013.
- [24] J. Yuan and S. Yu. Secure and constant cost public cloud storage auditing with deduplication. IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, 2013:149, 2013.